

REMARKS

By this Amendment, Applicant adds new claims 14-20 to protect additional aspect related to the present invention. Applicant submits that no new matter has been introduced by this Amendment.

In the Final Office Action (“FOA”), the Examiner rejected claims 2-7 and 13 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as anticipated by Bunte et al, U.S. Patent No. 5,873,070, (“*Bunte*”). In response, Applicant submits that *Bunte* fails to anticipate claims 2-7 and 13 because *Bunte* does not teach, expressly or inherently, all the claim elements.

In order to properly anticipate Applicant’s claimed invention under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b), each and every element of the claim in issue must be found, either expressly described or under principles of inherency, in a single prior art reference. Furthermore, “[t]he identical invention must be shown in as complete detail as is contained in the ... claim.” M.P.E.P. § 2131, ed. 8, rev. 1 (Feb. 2003) (quoting *Richardson v. Suzuki Motor Co.*, 868 F.2d 1126, 1236 (Fed. Cir. 1989)). Finally, “[t]he elements must be arranged as required by the claim.” M.P.E.P. § 2131 at 2100-70.

Claim 13 is directed to a display system for displaying information from a user-supported computer comprising a combination of elements including, *inter alia*, “at least one primary display and at least one display to supplement data received through said primary display (supplemental display), said supplemental display being a head-mounted display, said head-mounted display being worn on a user’s head and which provides said user with limited contextual information; said primary display being a body-worn display.”

Bunte is directed to a data collection system which comprises two separate computing devices. The first computing device, computer 10, may be utilized to collect information in a warehouse such as bar-coded information. *Bunte*, Figs. 1-4, col. 4. ll. 58-63. *Bunte* discloses that computer 10 may be connected to a headset 16 which includes a personal display 18. *Bunte*, col. 4, ll. 58-63. The second computing device, device 70, may be utilized to determine the location of a user and other location information. *Bunte*, col. 7, ll. 15-20. *Bunte* discloses that device 70 is mounted in a vehicle such as a forklift. *Bunte*, col. 7, ll. 15-20, Fig 17. In this instance, device 70, which is mounted in a forklift, includes a display 76. *Bunte*, col. 7, ll. 15-20. However, if device 70 is mounted in the forklift, the user has only one display which is body worn, namely, display 18 of headset 16.

In the rejection, the Examiner alleged that “the primary display, display 76 of computer 10, is a body-worn display when carried on the belt in figure 1.” (FOA at 3.) Computer 10, illustrated in Figure 1, is further illustrated in Figure 2, 3, and 4. Computer 10, as illustrated in Figure 1 and 2, is attached to only one display, personal display 18, and does not itself include a display. Thus, computer 10, as illustrated in Figures 1-4, does not include a display 76 as alleged by the Examiner. As mentioned above, device 70 includes display 76 and, as illustrated in Figure 14, device 70 is mounted on forklift 34. *See also, Bunte*, col. 7, ll. 15-20.

Bunte does mention that device 70 may be incorporated in computer 10. *Bunte*, col. 7, ll. 16-27. *Bunte*, however, does not disclose that, if device 70 was incorporated in computer 10, computer 10 would include a display 76. In fact, the only disclosed embodiment of computer 10 includes only one display, personal display 18. *Bunte*, Figs.

2-4. Moreover, *Bunte* illustrates device 70 as including only display 70 and not connected with any other display such as personal display 18. *Bunte*, Figs 14-16. Thus, *Bunte* does not disclose that both display 70 and personal display 18 would be utilized together and worn on a user's body. Hence, *Bunte* discloses only one display that is body-worn.

Thus, *Bunte* fails to teach, expressly or inherently, at least "at least one primary display and at least one display to supplement data received through said primary display (supplemental display), said supplemental display being a head-mounted display, said head-mounted display being worn on a user's head and which provides said user with limited contextual information; **said primary display being a body-worn display.**" (emphasis added.) Accordingly, *Bunte* fails to teach, expressly or inherently, all the element of claim 1 and, thereby, fails anticipate claim 1. For at least this reason, the rejection of claim 13 under section 102(b) is improper and should be withdrawn.

Claims 2-7 depend from claim 13 and, thus, incorporate the elements of that claim. As mentioned above, *Bunte* fails to teach all the elements of claim 13, which are incorporated in claim 13. Thus, *Bunte* also, fails to anticipate claims 2-7. For at least this reason, the rejection of claims 2-7 under section 102(b) is improper and should be withdrawn.


Applicant adds new claims 14-20 to protect additional aspects related to the present invention. Support for these claims may be found, for example, in Fig. 1 and on pages 6-8 of the specification. Moreover, Applicant submits that new claims 14-20 are patentable over the applied reference *Bunte*.

Claim 14 is directed to a display system comprising a combination of element including, *inter alia*, "at least one primary display, the primary display being supported by the body of the user and providing the user with a fully functional computer display; and at least one second display to supplement data received through the primary display, the second display being a head-mounted display and displaying data to augment data displayed on the primary display." Claims 15-20 depend from claim 14. As mentioned above, *Bunte* discloses only one display that is body-worn. For at least this reason, claims 14-20 are patentable over *Bunte*.

For at least mentioned above, Applicant submits that the rejections of the pending claims are improper and should be withdrawn. Applicant submits that the present claims are in condition for allowance and request reconsideration and allowance of the pending claims.

Furthermore, Applicant submits that no new matter would be introduced by this Amendment and that new claims 14-20 are patentable over the cited references. Thus, Applicant requests that the Examiner enter this Amendment.

Respectfully submitted,



Bryan S. Latham
Reg. No. 49,085
Agent for Applicant
12701 Fair Lakes Circle
Suite 550
Fairfax, VA 22033
(703) 631-6925

Dated: July 27, 2004